# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/681,136	01/20/2001	David S. Hardin	00H1450	7332
24234 7	7590 09/02/2004		EXAM	INER
SIMMONS, PERRINE, ALBRIGHT & ELLWOOD, P.L.C. THIRD FLOOR TOWER PLACE 22 SOUTH LINN STREET			ZHEN, LI B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IOWA CITY, IA 52240		2126		

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/681,136	HARDIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Li B. Zhen	2126			
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the prac	ctice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1.4.6.9-14 and 19-36 is/a	re pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,9-14 and 19-36</u> is/a	re rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any ob	ejection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	ng the correction is required if the drawii	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attach	led Office Action of John F10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊡ Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	ty documents have been received.				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priori</li></ol>	ity documents have been received in	Application No			
	es of the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage			
	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	et regained			
* See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certified copies n	ot received.			
:					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   •	4) ☐ Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	or PTO/SB/08) 5) I Notice of Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 4, 6, 9 - 14 and 19 - 36 are pending in the application.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 6, 9 14 and 19 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent NO. 6587937 to Jensen et al. [cited in previous office action] in view of Patent Application Publication NO. 2002/0083110 to Kozuch et al.
- 5. As per claim 1, Jensen teaches the invention substantially as claimed including the method comprising the steps of:

establishing a plurality of virtual machines [col.3, lines 38-39]; establishing a plurality of partitions of processor time [60, FIG.4, col.3, lines 53-

Art Unit: 2126

assigning each virtual machine of the plurality of virtual machines to a partition of the plurality of partitions [FIG.4, col. 3, line 57-58]; and

running, on a single processor, each virtual machine during its assigned partition [col. 3, lines 45-46].

6. Jensen does not teach determining whether a virtual machine will be inactive during its assigned partition.

However, Kozuch teaches a power management mechanism in a virtual machine environment [p. 2, paragraph (0016)] including determining if a virtual machine will be inactive during its assigned partition [VMM determines which subsets of the active VMs can remain active without exceeding the power available to the host platform; p. 3, paragraph (0028)].

- 7. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of determining whether a virtual machine will be inactive during its assigned partition because reduces the resource requirements of VMs by stopping one or more VMs that are not being used [p. 3, paragraph (0026) of Kozuch].
- 8. As per claim 4, Jensen as modified teaches the assigning step takes into account results of prior determining steps in making a decision for the next process step [selects the subset that has the most value to the user from the subsets of VMs identified at processing block 314 using a policy pertaining to user preferences; p. 3, paragraph (0029) of Kozuch].

Art Unit: 2126

- 9. As per claim 6, Jensen as modified teaches the step of determining inactivity in a device and placing the single processor into a reduced power mode that has been determined to be inactive by the determining step [VMM may then reduce the power consumption of one or more devices which were partially or fully allocated to the saved VM, thereby adjusting to the decrease in the available power; p. 3, paragraph (0027) of Kozuch].
- 10. As per claim 9, Jensen as modified teaches the reduced power mode is terminated at the end of the partition assigned to the inactive virtual machine [VMM then saves the current state information of this VM...and stops this VM ... the resources allocated to the VM are freed; p. 3, paragraph (0027) of Kozuch].
- 11. As per claim 10-12, Jensen as modified teaches reassigning, to another virtual machine, where previously assigned virtual machine has been determined to be inactive [when any of the VMs that were stopped becomes active, the VMM restores the state of this VM using the saved state information; p. 3, paragraph (0031) to Kozuch].
- 12. As per claims 13 and 20, these are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 and 6 set forth hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2126

- 13. As per claim 14, Jensen teaches wherein the processor comprises an embedded, low power processor [col.1, line 15].
- 14. As per claim 19 and 22, these are rejected for the same reasons as claim 13 set forth hereinabove. In addition, Jensen as modified teaches activating a subsequent virtual machine during a partition assigned to an inactive virtual machine [p. 3, paragraph (0029) of Kozuch].
- 15. As per claim 21, this is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, 6, and 10 set forth hereinabove.
- 16. As per claim 23, this is rejected for the same reasons as claims 1,6, and 10 set forth hereinabove.
- 17. As per claims 24 and 26, these are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4 and 19 set forth hereinabove.
- 18. As per claim 25, this is rejected for the same reasons as claims 4,10, and 19 set forth hereinabove.
- 19. As per claim 27, this is rejected for the same reasons as claims 4, 10, and 19 set forth hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2126

- 20. As per claim 28, this is rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 and 13 set forth hereinabove.
- 21. As per claim 29, Jensen as modified teaches determining whether a virtual machine will be inactive by checking a status field for a halt code [determination is made as to whether this signal indicates that the battery used for the host platform is low; p. 3, paragraphs (0033) and (0034) of Kozuch].
- 22. As per claims 30, 32, 33 and 37, Jensen as modified teaches the halt code indicates the occurrence of an error [resets, interrupts, and other signals to control partition context switching and to report memory range violations; col. 4, lines 1 22 of Jensen].
- 23. As per claim 31, Jensen as modified teaches the halt code indicates that a virtual machine has not loaded [partition timer 203 signals the microprocessor to perform a partition context switch and loads the new partition information; col. 4, lines 1 23 of Jensen].
- 24. As per claims 34 and 35, Jensen as modified teaches the halt code indicates that a partition time limit has been exceeded [PMU 200 contains information that may be stored in a memory 202 for each partition or virtual machine in the system. This

Art Unit: 2126

information provides address range values, execution time limits, and scheduling

protocols; col. 4, lines 1 – 13 of Jensen].

25. As per claim 36, wherein the halt code indicates that a power down handler did

not complete successfully [col. 4, lines 1 – 22 of Jensen].

Conclusion

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner

Art Unit 2126

MENG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

THURSOLOGY CENTER 2100

lbz

August 25, 2004